



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,678	04/04/2006	Ralf Edelbrock	Q106467	4205
23373	7590	03/11/2009	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			MOY, ANNIE	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.				
SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/11/2009	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/574,678	EDELBROCK, RALF
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	ANNIE MOY	2629

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ANNIE MOY. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) George Lehnigk (36359). (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 26 February 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 6-10.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney George Lehnigk point out an incorrent form paragraph was being used. Form paragraph 8.38 was used for last office action in regards to Double patenting which Attorney points out is rarely used in the TC and it does not allow for the Attorney to file for terminal disclaimer. After consideration Examiner acknowledges form paragraph 8.38 is incorrect and form paragraph 8.33 and 8.34 should be the proper form paragraph that should be used.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/A. M./  
Examiner, Art Unit 2629

/Sumati Lefkowitz/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629